1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C.
2	§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a
3	continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18
4	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
5	SO STIPULATED:
6	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
7	United States Attorney
8	DATED: $6/20/08$
و	Assistant United States Attorney
10	DATED: 6/19/08 Sonal C To S
11	RONALD C. TYLER
12	Attorney for Peter Raymond Inneau
13	[PROPOSED] ORDER
14	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time from May 27, 2008, to
15	and including June 24, 2008, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
16	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161
17	(h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny Mr. Juneau
18	continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective
19	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage
20	of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
21	SO ORDERED.
22	DATED.
23	DATED:HON. EDWARD M. CHEN
24	United States Magistrate Judge
25	
26	

27

28